

Analysis of label-based parameters in increasing trees

Markus Kuba^{1†} and Alois Panholzer¹

¹ *Institut für Diskrete Mathematik und Geometrie, Technische Universität Wien, Wiedner Hauptstr. 8-10/104, A-1040 Wien, Austria. {Markus.Kuba,Alois.Panholzer}@tuwien.ac.at*

Grown simple families of increasing trees are a subclass of increasing trees, which can be constructed by an insertion process. Three such tree families contained in the grown simple families of increasing trees are of particular interest: *recursive trees*, *plane-oriented recursive trees* and *binary increasing trees*.

Here we present a general approach for the analysis of a number of label-based parameters in a random grown simple increasing tree of size n as, e.g., *the degree of the node labeled j* , *the subtree-size of the node labeled j* , etc. Further we apply the approach to the random variable $X_{n,j,a}$, which counts the number of size- a branches attached to the node labeled j (= subtrees of size a rooted at the children of the node labeled j) in a random grown simple increasing tree of size n . We can give closed formulæ for the probability distribution and the factorial moments. Furthermore limiting distribution results for $X_{n,j,a}$ are given dependent on the growth behavior of $j = j(n)$ compared to n .

Keywords: increasing trees, label-based parameters, limiting distribution

1 Introduction

1.1 Increasing trees

Increasing trees are labeled trees where the nodes of a tree of size n are labeled by distinct integers of the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ in such a way that each sequence of labels along any branch starting at the root is increasing. As the underlying unlabeled tree model we use the so called *simply generated trees* (see Meir and Moon (1978)) but, additionally, the trees are equipped with increasing labellings. Thus we speak of *simple families of increasing trees*, which are introduced in Bergeron et al. (1992).

Several important tree families, in particular *recursive trees*, *plane-oriented recursive trees* (also called heap ordered trees or non-uniform recursive trees) and *binary increasing trees* (also called tournament trees) are special instances of simple families of increasing trees. A survey of applications and results on recursive trees and plane-oriented recursive trees is given in Mahmoud and Smythe (1995). These models are used, e.g., to describe the spread of epidemics, for pyramid schemes, and quite recently as a simplified growth model of the world wide web.

1.1.1 Combinatorial description

Formally, a class \mathcal{T} of a simple family of increasing trees can be defined in the following way. A sequence of non-negative numbers $(\varphi_k)_{k \geq 0}$ with $\varphi_0 > 0$ is used to define the weight $w(T)$ of any ordered tree T by $w(T) = \prod_v \varphi_{d(v)}$, where v ranges over all vertices of T and $d(v)$ is the out-degree of v (we always assume that there exists a $k \geq 2$ with $\varphi_k > 0$). Furthermore, $\mathcal{L}(T)$ denotes the set of different increasing labellings of the tree T with distinct integers $\{1, 2, \dots, |T|\}$, where $|T|$ denotes the size of the tree T , and $L(T) := |\mathcal{L}(T)|$ its cardinality. Then the family \mathcal{T} consists of all ordered trees T together with their weights $w(T)$ and the set of increasing labellings $\mathcal{L}(T)$. Furthermore we define the total weights by $T_n := \sum_{|T|=n} w(T) \cdot L(T)$.

We want to remark that there are two equivalent descriptions of simple families of increasing trees: one can either think of increasing trees as weighted *unlabeled* planted plane trees (= weighted ordered trees), additionally equipped with a set of increasing labels, or equivalently as weighted plane oriented *increasingly labeled* trees (= weighted plane-oriented recursive trees). The second point of view would avoid the introduction of unlabeled tree structures and, e.g., one could define simple families of increasing

[†]This work is supported by the Austrian Science Foundation FWF, grant S9608-N13.

trees via the formal equation that will be given in (4), whereas the first point of view avoids introducing plane-oriented recursive trees as “known objects” and allows to define simple families of increasing trees on the basis of ordered trees.

1.1.2 Probability measures

Next we want to explain the *model of randomness* for the tree families considered. Since there are two different points of view of increasing tree families we obtain either a probability measure π_n on the set of unlabeled planted plane trees of size n or a probability measure $\hat{\pi}_n$ on the set of plane oriented increasingly labeled trees of size n . We recall the definition of the total weights $T_n := \sum_{|T|=n} w(T) \cdot L(T)$.

Let T denote a size- n unlabeled planted plane tree. Then the probability that T is chosen amongst the set of all unlabeled planted plane trees of size n is proportional to the weight of the tree times the number of increasing labellings:

$$\pi_n(T) = \frac{w(T) \cdot L(T)}{T_n}. \tag{1}$$

Now, given a size- n unlabeled planted plane tree T , we consider a certain increasing labelling $l(T) \in \mathcal{L}(T)$ of the tree T . Then one can identify $B_{l(T)} := (T, l(T))$ with a plane oriented increasingly labeled tree of size n . Now the probability that $B_{l(T)}$ is chosen amongst the set of all plane oriented increasingly labeled trees of size n is simply proportional to the weight of the tree:

$$\hat{\pi}_n(B_{l(T)}) = \frac{w(T)}{T_n}. \tag{2}$$

1.1.3 Generating functions

For a given degree-weight sequence $(\varphi_k)_{k \geq 0}$ we introduce the degree-weight generating function $\varphi(t) := \sum_{k \geq 0} \varphi_k t^k$.

It follows then that the exponential generating function $T(z) := \sum_{n \geq 1} T_n \frac{z^n}{n!}$ satisfies the first order differential equation

$$T'(z) = \varphi(T(z)), \quad T(0) = 0. \tag{3}$$

Often it is advantageous to describe a simple family of increasing trees \mathcal{T} by the formal equation

$$\mathcal{T} = \textcircled{1} \times \left(\varphi_0 \cdot \{\epsilon\} \dot{\cup} \varphi_1 \cdot \mathcal{T} \dot{\cup} \varphi_2 \cdot \mathcal{T} * \mathcal{T} \dot{\cup} \varphi_3 \cdot \mathcal{T} * \mathcal{T} * \mathcal{T} \dot{\cup} \dots \right) = \textcircled{1} \times \varphi(\mathcal{T}), \tag{4}$$

where $\textcircled{1}$ denotes the node labeled by 1, \times the cartesian product, $*$ the partition product for labeled objects, and $\varphi(\mathcal{T})$ the substituted structure (see, e.g., Vitter and Flajolet (1990)). As pointed out before this description suggests the usage of plane oriented increasingly labeled trees as the underlying tree structure.

1.2 Grown simple families of increasing trees

In applications the subclass of simple families of increasing trees, which can be constructed via an *insertion process* or a *probabilistic growth rule*, is of particular interest. Such tree families \mathcal{T} have the property that for every tree T' of size n with vertices v_1, \dots, v_n there exist probabilities $p_{T'}(v_1), \dots, p_{T'}(v_n)$, such that when starting with a random tree T' of size n , choosing a vertex v_i in T' according to the probabilities $p_{T'}(v_i)$ and attaching node $n + 1$ to it, we obtain a *random* increasing tree T of the family \mathcal{T} of size $n + 1$. It is well known that the tree families mentioned above, i.e., recursive trees, plane-oriented recursive trees and binary increasing trees, can be constructed via an insertion process. In Panholzer and Prodinger (2005) a full characterization of those simple families of increasing trees, which can be constructed by an insertion process, is given. This subclass of increasing tree families will be denoted by *grown simple families of increasing trees* and its characterization via the so called degree-weight generating function is restated here as Lemma 1.

The results obtained in this work are mostly restricted to the subclass of grown simple families of increasing trees as described above and characterized as Lemma 1. When considering label-based parameters in trees it is natural to consider those tree families, where node j has a direct meaning as the j -th individual in the insertion process. However, we want to note that methodologically we obtain our results by using a combinatorial decomposition of simple families of increasing trees, which does not depend on the existence of a growth rule, i.e., the generating functions solution, Proposition 1, holds for general degree-weight generating functions $\varphi(t)$. On the other hand it must be noticed further that extracting coefficients of Proposition 1 depends of course heavily on the properties of $\varphi(t)$ and only due to the “nice structure” of $\varphi(t)$ for grown simple families computations are quite explicit.

1.3 Problem description

In this work we present a unifying approach for studying a class of label-based parameters for grown simple families of increasing trees. This approach has already been carried out successfully for two special instances, namely for *the subtree-size (= number of descendants) of the node labeled by j* and *the degree of the node labeled by j* , see Kuba and Panholzer (2006b) and Kuba and Panholzer (2006a).

Let us denote by $X_{n,j}$ a random variable that counts a certain label-based parameter for a specified node labeled by j , with $1 \leq j \leq n$, in a random size- n tree, where it is assumed that the parameter depends only on the subtree rooted at node j . For the sake of simplicity the term “node j ” shall always refer to the node labeled j (the node is associated with its label). Important instances of such parameters are the number of descendants of node j and the degree of node j as already mentioned. We use a recursive approach, which leads for all simple families of increasing trees (not only those, which can be described via an insertion process) to a closed formula for suitable trivariate generating functions of the probabilities $\mathbb{P}\{X_{n,j} = m\}$. For grown simple families of increasing trees we obtain explicit formulæ for the probabilities $\mathbb{P}\{X_{n,j} = m\}$ and the s -th factorial moments $\mathbb{E}((X_{n,j})^{\underline{s}}) = \sum_{m \geq 0} m^{\underline{s}} \mathbb{P}\{X_{n,j} = m\}$. Such a detailed description turns out to be useful to give a full characterization of the limiting distribution behavior of $X_{n,j}$ dependent on the growth of $j = j(n)$ compared to n .

We illustrate this approach for the following parameter analyzing the *branching structure* of increasing trees. Let $X_{n,j,a}$ denote the random variable, which counts the number of size- a branches attached to node j , or in other words the number of subtrees of size a rooted at the children of node j , in a random grown simple increasing tree of size n . This parameter was studied in Su et al. (2006) for the case of the root node $j = 1$ and for the instance of random recursive trees: they derived the distribution of $X_{n,1,a}$ and a limit law for it. Further they stated the joint distribution of $X_{n,1,1}, X_{n,1,2}, \dots, X_{n,1,n-1}$.

By using the approach presented we can extend their results by treating arbitrary grown simple families of increasing trees and considering the node with label j , not only the root node. We can give closed formulæ for the probability distribution and the factorial moments of $X_{n,j,a}$. Furthermore limiting distribution results of $X_{n,j,a}$ are given, not only for j fixed, but a full characterization dependent on the growth $j = j(n)$ compared to n is presented. Moreover, the joint distribution of $X_{n,j,1}, X_{n,j,2}, \dots, X_{n,j,n-j}$ is computed for all grown simple families of increasing trees.

1.4 Plan of the paper

In Section 2 we will give a characterization of grown simple families of increasing trees. In Section 3 we state the results for general label-based parameters and for the branching structure of increasing trees. A proof of these results is sketched in Section 4; for a detailed analysis and a lot of further results we refer to Kuba (2006).

Throughout this paper we use the abbreviations $x^{\underline{l}} := x(x-1) \cdots (x-l+1)$ and $x^{\overline{l}} := x(x+1) \cdots (x+l-1)$ for the falling and rising factorials, respectively. Moreover, we use the abbreviations D_x for the differential operator with respect to x , and E_x for the evaluation operator at $x = 1$. Further we denote with $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right]$ and $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\}$ the Stirling numbers of the first and second kind, respectively, with $X \stackrel{(d)}{=} Y$ the equality in distribution of the random variables X and Y , and with $X_n \xrightarrow{(d)} X$ the weak convergence, i.e., the convergence in distribution, of the sequence of random variables X_n to a random variable X .

2 Characterization of grown simple increasing trees

We will now characterize grown simple increasing tree families via the degree-weight generating function $\varphi(t)$ as obtained in Panholzer and Prodinger (2005).

Lemma 1 (Panholzer and Prodinger (2005)) *A simple family of increasing trees \mathcal{T} can be constructed via an insertion process and is thus a grown simple family of increasing trees iff the degree-weight generating function $\varphi(t) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \varphi_k t^k$ is given by one of the following three formulæ (with constants $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$).*

Case A (recursive trees) :

$$\varphi(t) = \varphi_0 e^{\frac{c_1 t}{\varphi_0}}, \text{ for } \varphi_0 > 0, c_1 > 0, \text{ (defining } c_2 := 0),$$

Case B (d-ary trees) :

$$\varphi(t) = \varphi_0 \left(1 + \frac{c_2 t}{\varphi_0} \right)^d, \text{ for } \varphi_0 > 0, c_2 > 0, d := \frac{c_1}{c_2} + 1 \in \{2, 3, 4, \dots\},$$

Case C (generalized plane-oriented recursive trees) :

$$\varphi(t) = \frac{\varphi_0}{\left(1 + \frac{c_2 t}{\varphi_0}\right)^{-\frac{c_1}{c_2} - 1}}, \text{ for } \varphi_0 > 0, 0 < -c_2 < c_1.$$

Therefore for all three cases the probability measures π_n and $\hat{\pi}_n$ are induced by an insertion process.

Solving the differential equation (3) one obtains the following explicit formulæ for the exponential generating function $T(z)$:

$$T(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{\varphi_0}{c_1} \log\left(\frac{1}{1-c_1 z}\right), & \text{Case A,} \\ \frac{\varphi_0}{c_2} \left(\frac{1}{(1-(d-1)c_2 z)^{\frac{1}{d-1}}} - 1\right), & \text{Case B,} \\ \frac{\varphi_0}{c_2} \left(\frac{1}{(1-c_1 z)^{\frac{c_2}{c_1}}} - 1\right), & \text{Case C.} \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

Furthermore the coefficients T_n are given by the following formula, which holds for all three cases of very simple increasing tree families (setting $c_2 = 0$ in Case A and $d = \frac{c_1}{c_2} + 1$ in Case B):

$$T_n = \varphi_0 c_1^{n-1} (n-1)! \binom{n-1 + \frac{c_2}{c_1}}{n-1}. \tag{6}$$

Next we are going to describe in more detail the tree evolution process, which generates random trees (of arbitrary size n) of grown simple families of increasing trees. This description is a consequence of the considerations made in Panholzer and Prodinger (2005):

- Step 1: The process starts with the root labeled by 1.
- Step $i + 1$: At step $i + 1$ the node with label $i + 1$ is attached to any previous node v (with out-degree $d(v)$) of the already grown tree of size i with probabilities $p(v)$ proportional to $\frac{(d(v)+1)\varphi_{d(v)+1}}{\varphi_{d(v)}}$, i.e.,

$$p(v) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{i}, & \text{for Case A,} \\ \frac{d-d(v)}{(d-1)i+1}, & \text{for Case B,} \\ \frac{d(v)+\alpha}{(\alpha+1)i-1}, \text{ with } \alpha := -1 - \frac{c_1}{c_2} > 0, & \text{for Case C.} \end{cases}$$

In order to state our results concerning arbitrary label-based parameters in Section 3 we introduce two generating functions. For encoding the behavior of the root node $j = 1$ we introduce the bivariate generating function

$$M(z, v) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{m \geq 1} \mathbb{P}\{X_{n,1} = m\} T_n \frac{z^n}{n!} v^m, \tag{7}$$

whereas to describe the behavior of arbitrary nodes $j \geq 1$ we introduce the trivariate generating function

$$N(z, u, v) := \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{j \geq 1} \sum_{m \geq 0} \mathbb{P}\{X_{k+j,j} = m\} T_{k+j} \frac{z^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} \frac{u^k}{k!} v^m. \tag{8}$$

3 Results

3.1 General results for label-based parameters of increasing trees

Proposition 1 *The function $N(z, u, v)$ as defined in equation (8), which is the trivariate generating function of the probabilities $\mathbb{P}\{X_{n,j} = m\}$, which give the probability that a certain label-based parameter for the node labeled j , which depends only on the subtree rooted at node j , in a randomly chosen size- n tree of a simple family of increasing trees with degree-weight generating function $\varphi(t)$ equals m , is given by the following formula, where $M(z, v)$ is defined by (7):*

$$N(z, u, v) = \frac{\varphi(T(z+u)) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} M(u, v)}{\varphi(T(u))}. \tag{9}$$

Theorem 1 For grown simple families of increasing trees the probability distribution and the factorial moments of $X_{n,j}$, which counts a label-based parameter in a random size- n tree that depends only on the subtree rooted at node j , are given as follows.

$$\mathbb{P}\{X_{n,j} = m\} = \frac{c_1^{j-1} \binom{c_2+j-1}{j-1}}{\binom{n-1}{n-j} \varphi_0 c_1^{n-1} \binom{n-1+c_2}{n-1}} [u^{n-j} v^m] \frac{\partial}{\partial u} M(u, v), \quad (10)$$

$$\mathbb{E}(X_{n,j}^s) = \frac{c_1^{j-1} \binom{c_2+j-1}{j-1}}{\binom{n-1}{n-j} \varphi_0 c_1^{n-1} \binom{n-1+c_2}{n-1}} [u^{n-j}] \frac{M'_s(u)}{(1-c_1 u)^{j-1}}, \quad (11)$$

where we use the abbreviation $M_s(z) := E_v D_v^s M(z, v)$. For Case A we have to set $c_2 = 0$ and for Case B: $d = \frac{c_1}{c_2} + 1$.

Remark 1 For several label-based parameters in increasing trees such as depth of node j , which violates the condition that the parameter depends only on the subtree rooted at node j , a similar result to Proposition 1 can be obtained (see Panholzer and Prodinger (2005) for details). Note that Proposition 1 can be used to obtain closed formula for the probability distribution of $X_{n,j}$ for other increasing tree families such as Motzkin trees ($\varphi(t) = 1 + t + t^2$), strict d -ary trees, etc.

3.2 Results for the branching structure of increasing trees

Explicit formulæ for the probabilities

Theorem 2 The probability that there are m size- a branches attached to node j is given as follows.

- Case A (recursive trees): we obtain the exact formula

$$\mathbb{P}\{X_{n,j,a} = m\} = \frac{1}{a^m a! \binom{n-1}{j-1}} \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-j-am}{a} \rfloor} \frac{(-1)^l}{a^l l!} \binom{n-1-a(m+l)}{j-1}.$$

- Case B (d -ary increasing trees): we obtain the exact formula

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}\{X_{n,j,a} = m\} \\ &= \frac{\binom{d}{m} \binom{\frac{d}{d-1}+j-2}{j-1} \sum_{i=0}^{\min\{d-m, \lfloor \frac{n-j-am}{m} \rfloor\}} (-1)^i \binom{d-m}{i} \left(\frac{a-1+\frac{1}{d-1}}{a(d-1)}\right)^{i+m} \binom{n-2-a(i+m)+\frac{d-m-i}{d-1}}{n-j-a(i+m)}}{\binom{n-1}{j-1} \binom{n-1+\frac{1}{d-1}}{n-1}} \end{aligned}$$

- Case C (Generalized plane oriented recursive trees): we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\{X_{n,j,a} = m\} &= \frac{\varphi_0(j-1)!(n-j)! c_1^{j-1} \binom{c_2+j-1}{j-1} \binom{c_2+1}{c_2} \left(\frac{c_2 T_a}{\varphi_0 a!}\right)^m}{T_n} \times \\ & \times [u^{n-j-am}] \frac{1}{(1-c_1 u)^{j-1} (C(u))^{m-\frac{c_1}{c_2}-1}}, \quad \text{with } C(u) := \frac{1}{(1-c_1 u)^{\frac{c_2}{c_1}}} - \frac{c_2 T_a}{\varphi_0 a!} u^a. \end{aligned}$$

Explicit formulæ for the factorial moments

Theorem 3 The factorial moments of the random variable $X_{n,j,a}$, which counts the number of size- a subtrees attached to node j in a random size- n grown simple increasing tree are given as follows.

- Case A (recursive trees):

$$\mathbb{E}(X_{n,j,a}^s) = \frac{1}{a^s} \frac{\binom{n-as-1}{j-1}}{\binom{n-1}{j-1}}.$$

- Case B (d -ary increasing trees):

$$\mathbb{E}(X_{n,j,a}^s) = \left(\frac{a-1+\frac{1}{d-1}}{a(d-1)}\right)^s \frac{\binom{j-1+\frac{1}{d-1}}{j-1} \binom{n-as-2+\frac{d-s}{d-1}}{n-j-as}}{\binom{n-1}{j-1} \binom{n-1+\frac{1}{d-1}}{n-1}}.$$

- Case C (Generalized plane oriented recursive trees):

$$\mathbb{E}(X_{n,j,a}^s) = \left(\frac{-c_2 \binom{a-1+c_2}{a-1}}{c_1 a}\right)^s \frac{\Gamma(s-1-\frac{c_1}{c_2}) \binom{j-1+\frac{c_2}{c_1}}{j-1} \binom{n-as-1-\frac{c_2}{c_1}(s-1)}{n-j-as}}{\Gamma(-1-\frac{c_1}{c_2}) \binom{n-1}{j-1} \binom{n-1+\frac{c_2}{c_1}}{n-1}}.$$

Limiting distribution results

Theorem 4 For Case A (recursive trees) we obtain the following results.

- for $n \rightarrow \infty$, $j = o(n)$ and a fixed: $X_{n,j,a}$ is asympt. Poisson distributed with parameter $1/a$:

$$X_{n,j,a} \xrightarrow{(d)} X_a, \quad \mathbb{P}\{X_a = m\} = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{a}}}{a^m m!}.$$

- for $n \rightarrow \infty$, $j = \rho n$ with $0 < \rho < 1$ and a fixed: $X_{n,j,a}$ is asympt. Poisson distributed with parameter $(1 - \rho)/a$:

$$X_{n,j,a} \xrightarrow{(d)} X_{\rho,a}, \quad \mathbb{P}\{X_{\rho,a} = m\} = \frac{e^{-\frac{1-\rho}{a}} (1-\rho)^m}{a^m m!}.$$

- for $n \rightarrow \infty$, $l = n - j = o(n)$ and a fixed: $X_{n,j,a}$ is asympt. zero: $X_{n,j,a} \xrightarrow{(d)} X$, $\mathbb{P}\{X = 0\} = 1$.

Theorem 5 For Case B (d -ary increasing trees) we obtain the following results.

- for $n \rightarrow \infty$, $j = o(n)$ and a fixed: $X_{n,j,a}$ is asympt. zero: $X_{n,j,a} \xrightarrow{(d)} X$, $\mathbb{P}\{X = 0\} = 1$.
- for $n \rightarrow \infty$, $j = \rho n$ with $0 < \rho < 1$ and a fixed: $X_{n,j,a}$ is asympt. binomial distributed $\text{Bin}(N, p)$ with parameter $N = d$ and $p = p(a, d) = \binom{a-1+\frac{1}{d-1}}{a-1} \frac{\rho(1-\rho)^a}{a(d-1)}$:

$$X_{n,j,a} \xrightarrow{(d)} X_{\rho,a}, \quad \mathbb{P}\{X_{\rho,a} = m\} = \binom{d}{m} p^m (1-p)^{d-m}.$$

- for $n \rightarrow \infty$, $l = n - j = o(n)$ and a fixed: $X_{n,j,a}$ is asympt. zero: $X_{n,j,a} \xrightarrow{(d)} X$, $\mathbb{P}\{X = 0\} = 1$.

Theorem 6 For Case C (Generalized plane oriented recursive trees) we obtain the following results.

- for $n \rightarrow \infty$, j and a fixed: the limiting distribution of $X_{n,j,a}$ is characterized by its moments:

$$n^{\frac{c_2}{c_1}} X_{n,j} \xrightarrow{d} X, \quad \mathbb{E}(X^s) = \frac{\Gamma(j + \frac{c_2}{c_1}) \Gamma(s - 1 - \frac{c_1}{c_2})}{\Gamma(j - \frac{c_2}{c_1} (s - 1)) \Gamma(-1 - \frac{c_1}{c_2})} \left(\frac{(-c_2)^{(a-1+\frac{c_2}{c_1})}}{c_1 a} \right)^s.$$

- for $n \rightarrow \infty$, $j = o(n)$ and a fixed: $X_{n,j,a}$ is asympt. gamma distributed $\gamma(k, \lambda)$ with parameters $k = -\frac{c_1}{c_2} - 1$ and $\lambda = \frac{ac_1}{(-c_2)^{(a-1+\frac{c_2}{c_1})}}$:

$$\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)^{\frac{c_2}{c_1}} X_{n,j} \xrightarrow{d} X, \quad X \stackrel{d}{=} \gamma(k, \lambda), \quad \mathbb{E}(X^s) = \left(-\frac{c_1}{c_2} - 1\right)^s \left(\frac{(-c_2)^{(a-1+\frac{c_2}{c_1})}}{c_1 a}\right)^s.$$

- for $n \rightarrow \infty$, $j = \rho n$ with $0 < \rho < 1$ and a fixed: $X_{n,j,a}$ is asympt. negative binomial distributed $\text{NegBin}(r, p)$ with parameters $r = -1 - \frac{c_1}{c_2}$ and $p = p(\rho, c_1, c_2, a) = (1 + (1-\rho)^a \rho^{\frac{c_2}{c_1}} \binom{a-1+\frac{c_2}{c_1}}{a-1} \frac{(-c_2)}{c_1 a})^{-1}$:

$$X_{n,j,a} \xrightarrow{(d)} X_{\rho,a}, \quad \text{with } \mathbb{P}\{X_{\rho} = m\} = \binom{m-2-\frac{c_1}{c_2}}{m} p^{1+\frac{c_2}{c_1}} (1-p)^m.$$

- for $n \rightarrow \infty$, $l = n - j = o(n)$ and a fixed: $X_{n,j,a}$ is asympt. zero: $X_{n,j,a} \xrightarrow{(d)} X$, $\mathbb{P}\{X = 0\} = 1$.

Joint distribution results

Theorem 7 The joint distribution of $X_{n,1,1} \dots X_{n,1,n-1}$ is for all Cases A, B and C given as follows.

$$\mathbb{P}\{X_{n,1,1} = m_1, \dots, X_{n,1,n-1} = m_{n-1}\} = \frac{\varphi_{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} m_i} \varphi_0^{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} m_i - 1} (\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} m_i)!}{\binom{n-1+\frac{c_2}{c_1}}{n-1}} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{k^{-1+\frac{c_2}{c_1}}}{k^{m_k} m_k!},$$

for all sequences of non-negative integers satisfying $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k m_k = n - 1$, with $c_2 = 0$ for Case A and $\frac{c_2}{c_1} = \frac{1}{d-1}$ for Case B. For Case B we also have the additional constraint $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} m_k \leq d$.

Corollary 1 *The joint distribution of $X_{n,j,1} \dots X_{n,j,n-j}$ is for all Cases A, B and C given as follows, where $Z_{n,j}$ denotes the random variable counting the subtree-size of node j .*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}\{X_{n,j,1} = m_1, \dots, X_{n,j,n-j} = m_{n-j}\} \\ &= \mathbb{P}\{Z_{n,j} = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-j} im_i\} \mathbb{P}\{X_{1+\sum_{i=1}^{n-j} im_i,1} = m_1, \dots, X_{1+\sum_{i=1}^{n-j} im_i,1,n-j} = m_{n-j}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Results for a randomly chosen node

We denote by $X_{n,a}^{[R]} = X_{n,U_n,a}$ the random variable, which counts the number of size- a branches of a randomly chosen node in a random size- n grown simple increasing tree.

Theorem 8 *The limit law of the random variable $X_{n,a}^{[R]}$ is given as follows.*

- *Case A (recursive trees): for a fixed it holds*

$$X_{n,a}^{[R]} \xrightarrow{(d)} X_a, \quad \mathbb{P}\{X_a = m\} = a \left(1 - e^{-\frac{1}{a}} \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{1}{k! a^k}\right) = \sum_{k \geq m+1} \frac{ae^{-\frac{1}{a}}}{k! a^k}.$$

- *Case B (d -ary increasing trees): for a fixed it holds*

$$X_{n,a}^{[R]} \xrightarrow{(d)} X_a, \quad \mathbb{P}\{X_a = m\} = \sum_{k=0}^{d-m} (-1)^k \frac{\binom{d}{m} \binom{d-m}{k} \left(\frac{a-1+\frac{1}{d-1}}{a-1}\right)^{m+k}}{a^{m+k} (d-1)^{m+k} ((m+k)(d+1)+1) \binom{(d+1)(m+k)}{m+k}}.$$

Remark 2 *For Case C we were not able to obtain a closed formula for the probability $\mathbb{P}\{X_a = m\}$, but of course one has the explicit formula $\mathbb{P}\{X_a = m\} = \int_0^1 \mathbb{P}\{X_{\rho,a} = m\} d\rho$.*

4 Sketch of the proof of the results presented

4.1 General label-based parameters

We consider in this section the random variable $X_{n,j}$ that counts a certain label-based parameter for a specified node j , with $1 \leq j \leq n$, in a random size- n tree, which is assumed to depend only on the subtree rooted at node j . In the following we give a general recurrence for the probability $\mathbb{P}\{X_{n,j} = m\}$, using the formal description of increasing trees (4).

For increasing trees of size n with root-degree r and subtrees with sizes k_1, \dots, k_r , enumerated from left to right, where the node labeled by j lies in the leftmost subtree and is the i -th smallest node in this subtree, we can reduce the computation of the probabilities $\mathbb{P}\{X_{n,j} = m\}$ to the probabilities $\mathbb{P}\{X_{k_1,i} = m\}$, when the label-based parameter does only depend on the subtree of node j .

We get as factor the total weight of the r subtrees and the root node $\varphi_r T_{k_1} \dots T_{k_r}$, divided by the total weight T_n of trees of size n and multiplied by the number of order preserving relabellings of the r subtrees, which are given here by

$$\binom{j-2}{i-1} \binom{n-j}{k_1-i} \binom{n-1-k_1}{k_2, k_3, \dots, k_r} :$$

the $i-1$ labels smaller than j are chosen from $2, 3, \dots, j-1$, the k_1-i labels larger than j are chosen from $j+1, \dots, n$, and the remaining $n-1-k_1$ labels are distributed to the second, third, \dots , r -th subtree. Due to symmetry arguments we obtain a factor r , if the node j is the i -th smallest node in the second, third, \dots , r -th subtree. Summing up over all choices for the rank i of label j in its subtree, the subtree sizes k_1, \dots, k_r , and the degree r of the root node gives for $n \geq j \geq 2$ the following recurrence.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\{X_{n,j} = m\} &= \sum_{r \geq 1} r \varphi_r \sum_{\substack{k_1 + \dots + k_r = n-1, \\ k_1, \dots, k_r \geq 1}} \frac{T_{k_1} \dots T_{k_r}}{T_n} \times \\ &\quad \times \sum_{i=1}^{\min\{k_1, j-1\}} \mathbb{P}\{X_{k_1,i} = m\} \binom{j-2}{i-1} \binom{n-j}{k_1-i} \binom{n-1-k_1}{k_2, k_3, \dots, k_r}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Explicit formulæ for the factorial moments

Again by applying the corresponding part of Theorem 1 one obtains by using (17) for all three cases explicit formulæ for the factorial moments. We derive exemplarily $M'_s(u)$ for Case A, where we get

$$M'_s(u) = E_v D_v^s \frac{\partial}{\partial u} M(u, v) = E_v D_v^s \frac{\varphi_0}{1 - c_1 u} \exp\left(\frac{c_1 T_a}{\varphi_0 a!} u^a (v - 1)\right) = \frac{\varphi_0 \left(\frac{u^a c_1 T_a}{\varphi_0 a!}\right)^s}{1 - c_1 u} = \frac{\varphi_0 u^{as} \left(\frac{c_1}{a}\right)^s}{1 - c_1 u}. \quad (19)$$

Limiting distribution results

From the explicit results obtained for the probabilities and the factorial moments as stated in Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 one can for all three cases of grown simple families of increasing trees characterize the limiting distribution of $X_{n,j,a}$ dependent on the growth of $j = j(n)$ compared to n (and a fixed). We restrict ourselves here to present the computations for Case C. To obtain limiting distribution results for that case we consider the factorial moments of $X_{n,j,a}$, which are after simplifications given as follows.

$$\mathbb{E}(X_{n,j,a}^s) = \frac{(-c_2)^s \left(\frac{a-1+c_2}{a-1}\right)^s}{c_1^s a^s} \frac{\Gamma(j + \frac{c_2}{c_1})(n-j)! \Gamma(n - as - \frac{c_2}{c_1}(s-1))}{\Gamma(j - \frac{c_2}{c_1}(s-1))(n-j-as)! \Gamma(n + \frac{c_2}{c_1})}. \quad (20)$$

For j (and a) fixed an application of Stirling's formula to (20) leads to

$$\mathbb{E}(X_{n,j,a}^s) = \frac{\Gamma(j + \frac{c_2}{c_1}) \Gamma(s-1 - \frac{c_1}{c_2})}{\Gamma(j - \frac{c_2}{c_1}(s-1)) \Gamma(-1 - \frac{c_1}{c_2})} \left(\frac{(-c_2) \left(\frac{a-1+c_2}{a-1}\right)}{c_1 a}\right)^s \left(n - \frac{c_2}{c_1}\right)^s \left(1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n - \frac{c_2}{c_1}}\right)\right). \quad (21)$$

Similarly one gets for $j \rightarrow \infty$, such that $j = o(n)$ (and a fixed) that

$$\mathbb{E}(X_{n,j,a}^s) = \frac{\Gamma(s-1 - \frac{c_1}{c_2})}{\Gamma(-1 - \frac{c_1}{c_2})} \left(\frac{(-c_2) \left(\frac{a-1+c_2}{a-1}\right)}{c_1 a}\right)^s \left(\frac{n}{j}\right)^{-s \frac{c_2}{c_1}} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{j}{n}\right)^{-\frac{c_2}{c_1}}\right)\right). \quad (22)$$

For $j = \rho n$, $0 < \rho < 1$, one obtains the following expansion of the factorial moments

$$\mathbb{E}(X_{n,j,a}^s) = \frac{\Gamma(s-1 - \frac{c_1}{c_2})}{\Gamma(-1 - \frac{c_1}{c_2})} \left(\frac{(-c_2) \left(\frac{a-1+c_2}{a-1}\right)}{c_1 a}\right)^s \rho^{s \frac{c_2}{c_1}} (1 - \rho)^{as} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right), \quad (23)$$

which are asymptotically the factorial moments of a negative binomial distribution $X_\rho \stackrel{(d)}{=} \text{NegBin}(r, p)$, with parameters $r = -1 - \frac{c_1}{c_2}$ and $p = (1 + (1 - \rho)^a \rho^{\frac{c_2}{c_1}} \left(\frac{a-1+c_2}{a-1}\right)^{\frac{(-c_2)}{c_1 a}})^{-1}$:

$$\mathbb{E}(X_\rho^s) = \frac{\Gamma(r+s)}{\Gamma(r)} \left(\frac{1}{p} - 1\right)^s. \quad (24)$$

Joint distribution results

One observes that

$$\begin{aligned} T_n \mathbb{P}\{X_{n,1,1} = m_1, \dots, X_{n,1,n-1} = m_{n-1}\} \\ = \varphi_{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} m_i} \left(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{m_1}, \underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{m_2}, \dots, m_{n-1}\right) \binom{n-1}{m_1, \dots, m_{n-1}} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} T_k^{m_k}. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

The factor $\varphi_{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} m_i}$ corresponds to the root degree, the factor $\binom{n-1}{m_1, \dots, m_{n-1}}$ to the choices for the labels and the factor $\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} T_k^{m_k}$ to the different positions of the subtrees.

Results for a randomly chosen node

We use the limiting distribution results for the central region of $X_{n,j,a}$, i.e., $j = \rho n$, with $0 < \rho < 1$, to derive results for the number of size- a branches $X_{n,a}^{[R]}$ attached to a randomly chosen node in a grown simple increasing tree. Since $X_{n,j,a} \xrightarrow{(d)} X_{\rho,a}$ we obtain $X_{n,a}^{[R]} \xrightarrow{(d)} X_a$, where the probabilities $\mathbb{P}\{X_a = m\}$ of the discrete random variable X_a can be obtained via $\mathbb{P}\{X_a = m\} = \int_0^1 \mathbb{P}\{X_{\rho,a} = m\} d\rho$. For Case A and Case B we obtain closed formulæ for these integrals. We present only the computations for Case A.

$$\mathbb{P}\{X = m\} = \int_0^1 \frac{e^{-\frac{1-\rho}{a}} (1-\rho)^m}{m! a^m} d\rho = \frac{a}{m!} \int_0^{\frac{1}{a}} e^{-u} u^m du = a - \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{a e^{-\frac{1}{a}}}{a^{m-k} (m-k)!}, \quad \text{for } m \geq 0.$$

References

- F. Bergeron, P. Flajolet, and B. Salvy. Varieties of increasing trees. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 581:24–48, 1992.
- M. Kuba. *Analysis of node isolation procedures and label-based parameters in tree structures*. PhD thesis, TU Wien, Wien, 2006.
- M. Kuba and A. Panholzer. On the degree distribution of the nodes in increasing trees. manuscript, 2006a.
- M. Kuba and A. Panholzer. Descendants in increasing trees. *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 13(1), 2006b. paper R8.
- M. Mahmoud and R. Smythe. A survey of recursive trees. *Theoretical Probability and Mathematical Statistics*, 51:1–37, 1995.
- A. Meir and J. W. Moon. On the altitude of nodes in random trees. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, 30: 997–1015, 1978.
- A. Panholzer and H. Prodinger. the level of nodes in increasing trees revisited. *Random Structures and Algorithms*, 2005. to appear.
- C. Su, Q. Feng, and Z. Hu. Uniform recursive trees: Branching structure and simple random downward walk. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 315:225–243, 2006.
- J. Vitter and P. Flajolet. Average case analysis of algorithms and data structures. In *Handbook of Theoretical Computer Science*, pages 431–524. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1990.